



**PRESUBMITTAL MEETING
PLANNING DEPARTMENT
MARK TWAIN PARK MONOPOLE – AT&T REPLACEMENT OF EXISTING
ANTENNAS**

Date: January 4, 2011
Planner: Jon Regala, Senior Planner
File No.: PRE10-00063

Proposal: Replace existing antennas on existing tower with new antennas. Install equipment cabinet on grade within an existing compound.

Property Address: 10633 132nd Avenue NE
Property Owner: Timothy & Nancy Lamas
14660 NW Arabian Way Seabeck, WA 98380

Contact: Todd Walton
12704 Palantine Avenue N Seattle, WA 98133
206-334-4116

Parcel No.: 332605-9055
Panel No.: H1
Zoning: P
Lot Size: 26,570 according to King County
Permit Plan: Various. ZON03-00020 & ZON01-00004 are previous wireless zoning permits
Development Actions Map: Various

Sensitive Areas Map: N/A

I. CITY COMMENTS

1. Monopole is non-conforming as to height. Maximum height allowed in residential zone is 40'. Existing monopole is 150'.
2. Since height of existing monopole is not being changed, the new facility will be reviewed under a Process I zoning permit based on KZC Section 117.20.2.b.
3. All standards in KZC Chapter 117 will apply.
4. KZC 117.65.3.a - Antennas shall be integrated into the design of any tower to which they are attached. External projections from the tower shall be limited to the greatest extent technically feasible. Where antennas are completely enclosed within the tower, the need for the backdrop described in the preceding paragraph may be reduced or eliminated, depending on the tower design and context.
5. KZC 117.65.3.d - Where feasible, cable and/or conduit shall be routed through the inside of any new tower, utility pole, or other support structure. Where this is not feasible, or

NOTE: *The information related by the City staff is a preliminary, qualified assessment which is based on the information provided by the applicant/contact person. More detailed technical review of a specific development permit application may disclose additional substantive or procedural requirements. Furthermore, in the case of a discretionary development permit, the role and authority of the City staff is advisory only. Final recommendation and decision on such permits can only be made, after public comment and/or public hearing, by the Planning Director (as to Short Plats and Zoning Code Process I Permits), the Hearing Examiner, or the Planning Commission and City Council, depending upon the type of permit.*

where such routing would result in a structure of a substantially different design or substantially greater diameter than that of other similar structures in the vicinity or would otherwise appear out of context with its surroundings, the City may allow or require that the cable or conduit be placed on the outside of the structure. The outside cable or conduit shall be the color of the tower, utility pole, or other support structure, and the City may require that the cable be placed in conduit.

6. KZC 117.70.1 - Max height of any equipment structures is 5'.
7. KZC 117.70.9 - Confirm noise requirements are met.
8. KZC 117.75 – Submit photographs that show existing landscaping and screening.

II. PROCESS

Process I Zoning Permit – Planning Director Decision. KZC Chapter 145 outlines the steps. SEPA is reviewed concurrently with zoning permit application. Approximately 2-4 month review timeframe.

III. FEES

Process I Application fee: \$10,436.00
SEPA Review fee: \$552
1.3% Technology Surcharge: \$142.85
TOTAL = \$11,130.85

NOTE: *The information related by the City staff is a preliminary, qualified assessment which is based on the information provided by the applicant/contact person. More detailed technical review of a specific development permit application may disclose additional substantive or procedural requirements. Furthermore, in the case of a discretionary development permit, the role and authority of the City staff is advisory only. Final recommendation and decision on such permits can only be made, after public comment and/or public hearing, by the Planning Director (as to Short Plats and Zoning Code Process I Permits), the Hearing Examiner, or the Planning Commission and City Council, depending upon the type of permit.*